The primary output of the assessment will be a country report. This report should be about 35 pages long and should generally adhere to the following outline.

**Preface**

- Description of the motivation for the assessment and the program(s) to be assessed
- Assessment team and dates of the assessment

1. **Background**

   - Summary of the rationale for carrying out the assessment.
   - Brief reference to the ID system(s) to be evaluated and description of key features
   - Description of key sources of information and data used for the assessment

2. **Country context**

   - Snapshot of institutional arrangements, legal framework, and main SP and ID system actors
   - Snapshot of SP in the last 10 years
   - Snapshot of the ID systems in the last 10 years
   - Snapshot of the human development and poverty profile of the country
   - Key indicators relevant for ID, including income level, demographic structure, urban/rural split, literacy rates, etc.
   - Citations and cross-references to the country’s major SP and labor programs and their coverage (table 1)
   - Tabular presentation of key indicators (listed below) of the ID ecosystem; if ID systems from different programs are included in the assessment, repeat the table for each system
     - Estimated coverage of foundational ID(s) where relevant
Table 1 Country SP Programs at a Glance

*Provide information for the most relevant SP programs operating in the country.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Program A</th>
<th>Program B</th>
<th>Program C</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program/benefit category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk covered/function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted population group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualifying conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit level/beneficiary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible implementing agency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographical areas covered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment mechanism (if cash or near cash)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of beneficiaries (value/latest year)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total expenditure (local currency unit) (value/latest year)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Estimated coverage of program ID
- Type of ID and functional use
- Estimated costs of the current ID system
- Biometrics captured (none; fingerprints and if so, how many; iris; other)
- Medium for and centralization of ID database (paper/electronic; decentralized/centralized)
- Whether the ID is unique to an individual
- Form of verification of ID by program at point of transaction

3. **Assessment**

- Detailed narrative based on responses to the questionnaire and interviews with the relevant agencies covering system performance strengths and weaknesses in accordance with the assessment criteria across the five key areas
- Summary of assessment captured in assessment matrix
4. **Policy Options**

- Description of the most cost-effective options available to the country for improving the ID system(s), taking into account its starting point and initial conditions

- References to relevant international experience and technical annexes as appropriate

5. **Conclusions**

- Summary of key performance strengths and weaknesses and costs of the ID system(s)

- Discussion of areas for future research and/or attention

- Caveats regarding information quality and availability